## Exhibit D



Robert T. Haefele Licensed in NJ & PA DIRECT DIAL 843.216.9184 DIRECT FAX 843.216.9450 RHaefele@motleyrice.com

April 21, 2006

Rayner M. Hamilton White & Case LLP 1155 Avenue Of The Americas New York, New York 10036

152 Sheepshank Court, Box 808 Boca Grande, Florida 33921

> In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001, MDL No. 1570 (RCC) This Document relates to: Burnett et al. v. Al Baraka Inv. & Dev., et al, Case No. 03-CV-9849

Dear Mr. Raynor:

I have received your letter and e-mails of April 17, 2006 and your follow up electronic mail from today regarding your client Ahmed Zaki Yamani. In your April 17, 2006 communications you indicate that defendant Yamani ought not to have been placed in default, premised on your communication to the various other attorneys in February 2005, which requested that your client be dismissed or, in the alternative, plaintiffs file a Rule 12(e) more definite statement, pursuant to Case Management Order 2.

Please note that in accordance with CMO #2, as modified by a July 27, 2005 endorsement extending the deadline to September 30, 2005, plaintiffs filed a Rule 12(e) more definite statement as to defendant Yamani on September 30, 2005. As you noted in your correspondence, defendant Yamani's obligation to file a responsive pleading began to run at least as of September 30, 2005, when the Rule 12(e) filing occurred. Accordingly, defendant Yamani was in default at least as of 30 days after the Rule 12(e) more definite statement was filed.

Sincerely

Under the circumstances, I am afraid we cannot agree to vacating the default order and/or

dismissing your client as you have suggested.

ROBERT T. HAEFELE

Sean P. Carter, Esq., Cozen O'Connor cc:

Andrea Bierstein, Esq., Hanley Conroy Bierstein & Sheridan

James P. Kreindler, Esq., Kreindler & Kreindler LLP

Michael K. Kellogg, Esq.

Christopher Curran, Esq.

BARNWELL

ATLANTA